ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

Glycaemic control and excess risk of major coronary events in persons with type 1 diabetes

Viktorija Matuleviciene-Anängen,¹ Annika Rosengren,¹ Ann-Marie Svensson,² Aldina Pivodic,³ Soffia Gudbjörnsdottir,¹ Hans Wedel,⁴ Mikhail Kosiborod,⁵ Börje Haraldsson,¹ Marcus Lind^{1,6}

 Additional material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ heartjnl-2016-311050).

¹Department of Molecular and Clinical Medicine, Institute of Medicine, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden ²Center of Registers in Region Västra Götaland, Gothenburg, Sweden ³Statistiska Konsultgruppen, Gothenburg, Sweden ⁴Health Metrics, Sahlgrenska Academy at University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden ⁵Saint Luke's Mid America Heart Institute and University of Missouri, Kansas City, Missouri, USA ⁶Department of Medicine, NU Hospital Organization, Uddevalla, Sweden

Correspondence to

Viktorija Matuleviciene-Anängen, Karolinska University Hospital, Karolinska Road, 171 76 Solna, Sweden; viktorija. matuleviciene-anangen@ karolinska.se

Received 15 December 2016 Revised 18 April 2017 Accepted 20 April 2017 Published Online First 14 July 2017



http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ heartinl-2017-311553



To cite: Matuleviciene-Anängen V, Rosengren A, Svensson A-M, et al. Heart 2017;103:1687-1695.

ABSTRACT

Objective The excess risk of major coronary events (acute myocardial infarction (AMI) or death from coronary heart disease (CHD)) in individuals with type 1 diabetes (T1D) in relation to glycaemic control and renal complications is not known.

Methods Individuals with T1D in the Swedish National Diabetes Registry after 1 January 1998, without a previous MI (n=33 170) and 1 64 698 controls matched on age, sex and county were followed with respect to non-fatal AMI or death from CHD. Data were censored at death due to any cause until 31 December 2011. **Results** During median follow-up of 8.3 and 8.9 years for individuals with T1D and controls, respectively, 1500 (4.5%) and 1925 (1.2%), experienced non-fatal AMI or died from CHD, adjusted HR 4.07 (95% CI 3.79 to 4.36). This excess risk increased with younger age, female sex, worse glycaemic control and severity of renal complications. The adjusted HR in men with T1D with updated mean haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) <6.9% (52 mmol/mol) and normoalbuminuria was 1.30 (95% CI 0.90 to 1.88) and in women 3.16 (95% CI 2.14 to 4.65). HRs increased to 10.7 (95% CI 8.0 to 14.3) and 31.8 (95% CI 23.6 to 42.8) in men and women, respectively, with HbA1c >9.7% and renal complications. **Conclusions** The excess risk of AMI in T1D is

substantially lower with good glycaemic control, absence of renal complications and men compared with women. In women, the excess risk of AMI or CHD death persists even among patients with good glycaemic control and no renal complications.

INTRODUCTION

The onset of type 1 diabetes (T1D) generally occurs in childhood or early adulthood, in contrast to later onset of type 2 diabetes.¹ Although several novel treatments have emerged recently, excess risk of mortality associated with T1D persists.²³

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the most common cause of death in persons with T1D,²⁻ primarily from coronary heart disease (CHD).⁵ The Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications study showed that intensive glycaemic control reduces the risk of CVD.⁶ Consequently, current diabetes practice guidelines emphasise optimal glycaemic control.⁷

Whether the excess risk of AMI persists in Swedish individuals with T1D since these guidelines were implemented is unknown. Using data from the Swedish National Diabetes Registry (NDR), we

evaluated risk of AMI and CHD death in persons with T1D in relation to glycaemic control and renal complications.

METHODS

This was a nationwide population-based observational cohort study, approved by the ethical committee at the University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden.

Study cohort and data sources

The NDR has previously been described in detail.^{2 8-10} Information is collected by trained nurses and physicians during patient visits at hospital outpatient and primary care clinics nationwide, and reported using electronic records. Clinical data from the NDR were collected and include risk factors, complications within the year of diabetes onset and medications in patients aged >18 years. Verbal and written informed consent was obtained from each patient.

T1D was defined using epidemiological criteria (treatment with insulin and diagnosis aged ≤ 30 years), which has been validated as accurate in 97% of cases.¹¹ Patients with at least one record in the NDR from 1 January 1998 until 31 December 2011 were included. For each patient, five age, gender and county-matched controls not registered in the NDR were randomly selected from the Swedish Population Register.²⁹

Information on comorbidities and cause-specific mortality was retrieved by linking personally identifying information from patients and controls to the Swedish inpatient and cause of death registries. Education and country of birth was retrieved from the Longitudinal Integration database for health insurance and labour market studies.² Education was categorised as low (compulsory only), intermediate and high (university or similar). Country of birth was categorised as Sweden or other.

The Inpatient Registry includes nationwide coverage of all inpatient admissions from 1987 onwards. International Classification of Disease (ICD) codes were used to define AMI (ICD10 I21, ICD9 410), CHD (ICD10 I20-I25, ICD9 410-414), hospitalisation for heart failure (ICD10 I50, ICD9 428), atrial fibrillation (ICD10 I48, ICD9 427D), valve disease (ICD 10 105-109, 134-136, ICD9 394-396, 424), stroke (ICD10 I61-I64, ICD9 431-434 and 436), cancer



diagnoses (ICD10 C00-C97, ICD9 140-208) and renal dialysis and renal transplantation (ICD10 Z49, Z94.0, Z99.2, ICD9 V42A, V45B, V56A, V56W).

Information on prescribed medications was retrieved from the Swedish Prescribed Drug registry, which includes information from July 2005 onwards.¹²

In the current cohort, patients and controls who had a myocardial infarction (MI) diagnosis before the start of follow-up were excluded. In total, 2.4% (819 of 33 989) of persons with T1D and 3.0% (5127 of 169 825) of controls were excluded due to inconsistent vital status data, leaving 33170 patients and 164698 controls. Patients and controls were followed from baseline, that is, first NDR record, until non-fatal MI, fatal CHD, 12/31/2011 (date censored) or date of death from non-CHD causes.

Microalbuminuria was defined as two positive tests from three samples taken within 1 year, with an albumin/creatinine ratio of 3-30 mg/mmol ($\sim 30-300 \text{ mg/g}$) or U-albumin of $20-200 \mu \text{g/min}$ (20-300 mg/L), and macroalbuminuria as albumin/creatinine ratio > 30 mg/mmol ($\sim > 300 \text{ mg/g}$) or U-albumin $> 200 \mu \text{g/min}$ (> 300 mg/L). Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equation.¹³

Procedures

Coronary event rates (AMI or CHD death) were estimated for patients and controls by age (18–34, 35–49, 50–64 and \geq 65 years) and by gender. Age-specific and gender-specific analyses for risk of coronary events were also performed using survival analysis adjusting for the influence of diabetes duration, educational level and coexisting diseases at the start of follow-up.

Survival analysis was used to evaluate the influence of glycaemic control and renal complications on AMI and CHD risk. Patients were categorised by glycaemic control based on updated mean haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), that is, the mean level of HbA1c until a certain time point.¹⁴ HbA1c analyses were performed according to the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry standard measured in mmol/mol and converted to levels according to the National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program for dual reporting. Renal complications were categorised as normoalbuminuria, microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria and stage 5 chronic kidney disease (CKD), and further categorised as a separate variable based on eGFR stage 1 (eGFR ≥90 mL/min), stage 2 (eGFR 60-89 mL/ min), stage 3 (eGFR 30-59 mL/min), stage 4 (eGFR 15-29 mL/ min) and stage 5 CKD (renal dialysis, renal transplantation or eGFR <15 mL/min).

Statistical analysis

Crude rates of coronary events per 1000 patient years, with 95% exact Poisson CIs were calculated. Survival analyses were performed using Cox regression, adjusted for time-updated (the most current value at each event from baseline to end of follow-up) age and gender (model 1), additionally stratified by diabetes duration categories at baseline (aged 0-5, >5-10, >10-15, >15-20, >20-30, >30-40, >40 years) (model 2) and additionally adjusted for education category, birth in Sweden and comorbidities prior to baseline (CHD, atrial fibrillation, heart failure, valve disease, stroke and cancer) (model 3). Model 3 was used to evaluate the association of different time-updated mean HbA1c categories, time-updated albuminuria categories, time-updated eGFR categories as well as time-updated mean HbA1c categories together with albuminuria or eGFR

categories in patients with outcomes versus controls. The effect per 10 mmol/mol higher updated mean HbA1c on events was evaluated separately for men and women and adjusted for all variables included in model 3. The influence of other variables was investigated by introducing time-updated mean systolic blood pressure, mean body mass index (BMI), smoking status and use of blood pressure-lowering medications in one model; time-updated mean high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, lipid-lowering medication use in a second model; time-updated insulin method (injection or insulin pump) in a third model and time-updated albuminuria categories in a fourth model. Sensitivity analysis was performed to evaluate the effect of HbA1c in the lowest category, $\leq 52 \text{ mmol/mol}$, among males and females with T1D, and further divided into ≤ 42 , 43–47 and 48–52 mmol/mol categories.

To examine the influence of time (<2005 and \geq 2005), Cox regression analysis was used.

The proportional hazards assumption was fulfilled in all instances and was investigated by reviewing the log(-log(survival)) versus log(time) curves.

All tests were two-tailed and conducted at the 0.05 significance level. All analyses were performed using SAS V.9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA).

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

Baseline characteristics are shown in table 1.

In total, 33 170 patients and 164698 controls were included. Mean age (35.3 and 35.1 years) and proportion of women (45.3% and 45.1%) was similar. More patients (93.9%) than controls (86.5%) were born in Sweden. Differences in education were comparatively minor.

In patients with T1D, mean HbA1c was 8.2% (65.7 mmol/mol), mean diabetes duration 20.0 years, mean BMI 25.0 kg/m², mean blood pressures 126.7/73.6 mm Hg and 86.4% were non-smokers.

Risk of coronary events by age groups and gender in individuals with T1D versus controls

Patients and controls were followed over a median of 8.3 and 8.9 years, respectively. The incidence of AMI and CHD death increased with older age (table 2), with the absolute difference in incidence between patients and controls greatest among older individuals.

In all age groups men and women with T1D had almost identical event rates, in contrast to much lower rates in female controls (table 2). Overall, AMI and CHD death in patients was 5.68 cases per 1000 years (95% CI 5.29 to 6.09) for men versus 5.80 (95% CI 5.38 to 6.25) for women. In controls, incidence rates among men and women were 1.90 (95% CI 1.80 to 2.00) and 0.86 (95% CI 0.79 to 0.93) cases per 1000 patient years, respectively.

In Cox regression analysis, the HR for AMI and CHD death adjusted for age and gender was 4.38 (95% CI 4.1 to 4.69) and was slightly attenuated to 4.07 (95% CI 3.79 to 4.36) when additionally adjusted for birth in Sweden, educational level and baseline comorbidities (full model=model 3). HRs for coronary events decreased with older age in both models and were lower for men compared with women in all age groups (figure 1). In the fully adjusted model, the HRs decreased monotonically from 4.72 (95% CI 3.88 to 5.74) among men aged 18–35 years to 2.44 (95% CI 2.09 to 2.87) for men aged 65+ years. The corresponding HRs for women

4.9% 5.9% <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>HbA1c categories at l</th><th>baseline (NGSP %/IFCC</th><th>mmol/mol)</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>				HbA1c categories at l	baseline (NGSP %/IFCC	mmol/mol)			
Werten $\chi_{653}(65.34)$ $1492(65.74)$ $567(34.71)$ $335(13.31-5302$ $335(13.31-5302$ $335(13.31-5302$ $331(14.31, 11-3005$ $\chi_{65}(768)$ $35.(11.4.71, 11-57.88)$ $1340(655.58)$ $131.2(155.86)$ $331(14.31, 11-3005$ $335(13.31, 11-3002$ $231(13.11, 11-3005$ $\chi_{65}(768)$ $35.(11.4.71, 11-15(6408)$ $331(14.31, 11-3105$ $355(13.31, 11-3005$ $2345(12.56)$ $336(13.56)$ $2345(12.56)$ $336(13.56)$ $2345(12.56)$ $336(13.56)$ $2345(12.56)$ $336(12.56)$ $336(13.56)$ $236(12.56)$ $336(12.56)$ <t< th=""><th></th><th>Controls n=164698</th><th>All type I diabetes n=33170</th><th>≤6.9% (≤52 mmol/mol) n=6064</th><th>7.0%-7.8% (53-62 mmol/mol) n=7578</th><th>7.9%-8.7% (63-72mmol/mol) n=8730</th><th>8.8%-9.6% (73-82 mmol/mol) n=5302</th><th>≥9.7% (≥83 mmol/mol) n=3905</th><th>Missing value n=1591</th></t<>		Controls n=164698	All type I diabetes n=33170	≤6.9% (≤52 mmol/mol) n=6064	7.0%-7.8% (53-62 mmol/mol) n=7578	7.9%-8.7% (63-72mmol/mol) n=8730	8.8%-9.6% (73-82 mmol/mol) n=5302	≥9.7% (≥83 mmol/mol) n=3905	Missing value n=1591
Age (wear) 5.1 (1.4.2) In=15.66 3.5 (1.4.2) In=57.66 3.5 (1.3.3) In=50.0 3.2 (1.4.2) In=57.62 3.2 (1.1.1) In=57.63 3.2 (1.1.1) In=57.64 3.2 (1.1.1) In=57.64 3.2 (1.1.2) In=57.64 3.2 (1.1.2) In=57.64 3.2 (1.1.1) In=57.64	Women	74 653 (45.3%)	14992 (45.2%)	2678 (44.2%)	3403 (44.9%)	3901 (44.7%)	2383 (44.9%)	1898 (48.6%)	729 (45.8%)
Age caregory Age caregory Age (2.5%) 154 (6.5%) 154 (6.5%) 154 (6.5%) 154 (6.5%) 154 (6.5%) 154 (6.5%) 154 (6.5%) 154 (6.5%) 154 (6.5%) 154 (6.5%) 155 (1.2%) 154 (9.5%) 156 (6.25%) 156	Age (years)	35.1 (14.2) n=1 64 698	35.3 (14.3) n=33170	33.6 (13.9) n=6064	36.7 (14.7) n=7578	37.0 (14.6) n=8730	35.5 (13.9) n=5302	32.3 (13.1) n=3905	32.1 (14.1) n=1591
1 - 3 - 4 years 2 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 -	Age category								
35-40yeas: 235-70yea 354 (23.%) 112 (18.5%) 213 (12.6%) 255 (12.3%) 365 (23.5%) 365 (53.5%) 367 (33.6%) <	18–34 years	91 921 (55.8%)	18406 (55.5%)	3959 (65.3%)	3888 (51.3%)	4263 (48.8%)	2788 (52.6%)	2448 (62.7%)	1060 (66.6%)
S0-64 years 2443 (14.3%) 4971 (15.0%) 733 (12.4%) 1344 (17.7%) 1557 (17.3%) 716 (14.7%) 383 6.8%) Brn in Sweden 1.2.426 (65.5%) 1130 (13.3%) 556 (33.3%) 133 (14.4%) 366 (4.2%) 124 (3.3%) 62 (3.3%) 65 (3.3%) 713 (14.7%) 383 6.8%) 66 (2.3%) 556 (33.3%) 713 (14.3%) 76 (14.7%) 383 6.8%) 66 (2.3%) 556 (33.3%) 736 (14.7%) 56 (2.3%) 56 (2.3%) 56 (13.3%) 73 (14.7%) 56 (2.3%)<	35–49 years	42 575 (25.9%)	8543 (25.8%)	1122 (18.5%)	2013 (26.6%)	2545 (29.2%)	1564 (29.5%)	985 (25.2%)	314 (19.7%)
67- years 579 (3.5%) 124 (3.8%) 20 (3.5%) 331 (4.%) 36 (4.3%) 172 (3.1%) 99 (3.3%) function sweetn 1 - 4 - 26 (6.5%) 31 (13 (0.93)) 565 (63 3%) 715 (9.4.5%) 82.0 (9.4.5%) 89 (2.3%) function reargepy 2 - 565 (13.2%) 565 (63 3%) 715 (9.4.5%) 112 (2.1%) 69 (2.3%) function reargepy 2 - 565 (18.2%) 5 - 7 (13.2%) 5 - 7 (13.2%) 123 (13.1%) 5 - 7 (13.2%) 5 - 7 (13.	50–64 years	24403 (14.8%)	4977 (15.0%)	753 (12.4%)	1344 (17.7%)	1557 (17.8%)	778 (14.7%)	383 (9.8%)	162 (10.2%)
Born in Sweden 142 426 (86.5%) 31130 (33.9%) 5656 (33.3%) 7158 (94.5%) 8249 (94.5%) 9579 (33.9%) 362 (33.3%) Education cregory 1 <th1< th=""> 1 1</th1<>	65+ years	5799 (3.5%)	1244 (3.8%)	230 (3.8%)	333 (4.4%)	365 (4.2%)	172 (3.2%)	89 (2.3%)	55 (3.5%)
Education category 256 (13.%) 235 (13.%) 135 (17.%) <	Born in Sweden	1 42 426 (86.5%)	31 130 (93.9%)	5656 (93.3%)	7158 (94.5%)	8249 (94.5%)	4979 (93.9%)	3642 (93.3%)	1446 (90.9%)
	Education category								
Mid 78513 (48.3%) 16557 (50.3%) 2701 (44.9%) 360 (48.0%) 4453 (51.4%) 2869 (54.5%) 7133 (55.6%) High 54547 (33.5%) 10123 (30.8%) 2490 (41.4%) 2555 (34.6%) 2156 (24.1%) 718 (18.5%) Variables in the NR only 55.7 (15.3) n=3175 5.5 (15.6) n=506 57.3 (2.6) n=7578 6.7 (2.8) n=3702 79.9 (11.3) n=3023 Market oriention (FCC) 55.7 (15.8) n=3175 55.6 (5.5) n=6064 71.3 (2.6) n=7578 22.3 (3.4) n=3702 79.9 (13.3) n=3023 79.9 (13.3) n=2033 2.5 (4.6) n=3405 75.8 (4.5) n=3405 75.9 (4.5) n=7547 75.9 (4.5) n=7564 75.9 (4.5) n=7564 75.8 (Low	29650 (18.2%)	6222 (18.9%)	827 (13.7%)	1305 (17.4%)	1617 (18.6%)	1125 (21.4%)	1002 (25.9%)	346 (22.0%)
High 54547 (33.5%) 10123 (30.8%) 2490 (41.4%) 2595 (34.6%) 2601 (30.0%) 1266 (24.1%) 718 (18.5%) Variables in the NDR only $5.73 (15.8) n=3179$ $55.6 (5.6) n=6064$ $7.3 (2.6) n=7738$ $67.2 (2.8) n=5302$ $94.9 (11.3) n=3302$ Diabetes duration (years) $200 (14.5) n=3179$ $55.6 (5.6) n=6064$ $7.3 (2.6) n=7382$ $22.0 (14.1) n=7332$ $24.0 (1.3) n=2334$ $24.6 (4.0) n=2384$ $24.5 (4.0) n=2384$ $24.6 (6.5) n=2734$ $23.6 (0.5) n=2734$ $23.6 (0.63) n=1734$ $23.6 (0.63) n=1734$ $23.6 (0.63) n=1734$ $23.6 (0.63) n=364$ Systic blood pressue (mm Hg) $7.2 (6.9) n=30832$ $24.3 (1.5) n=2733$ $23.6 (0.63) n=2734$ $23.6 (0.63) n=1734$ $23.6 (0.63) n=3674$ Systic blood pressue (mm Hg) $7.2 (6.9) n=30832$ $72.4 (6.5) n=7233$ $23.6 (0.3) n=3674$ $73.6 (0.5) n=3674$ $73.6 (0.5) n=3674$ Systic blood pressue (mm Hg) $7.2 (7.6) n=3674$ $73.1 (6.5) n=7233$ $73.4 (9.3 1 n=5077$ $72.6 (4.6) n=3664$ <	Mid	78513 (48.3%)	16557 (50.3%)	2701 (44.9%)	3606 (48.0%)	4453 (51.4%)	2869 (54.5%)	2153 (55.6%)	775 (49.2%)
Variables in the NDR only $537 (15.8) n=3179$ $55.6 (5.6) n=6064$ $57.3 (2.6) n=778$ $67.2 (2.8) n=8730$ $949 (11.3) n=3902$ bAck (mmolinol, FCC) $200 (14.5) n=31170$ $15.6 (5.5) n=6064$ $57.3 (2.6) n=778$ $52.3 (1.4.1) n=8730$ $210 (13.3) n=3902$ Diables duration (years) $250 (40) n=2894$ $246 (40) n=5381$ $249 (3.8) n=7881$ $25.4 (4.1) n=4758$ $25.0 (4.0) n=3902$ Diables duration (years) $250 (40) n=2894$ $246 (40) n=5381$ $249 (3.8) n=2881$ $27.4 (1.4) n=4778$ $25.3 (0.6) n=3902$ Diable diportentim HDJ $15.6 (16.9) n=3032$ $12.3 (16.8) n=2741$ $12.6 (16.9) n=2363$ $24.9 (1.9) n=3674$ $24.9 (3.8) n=2974$ $24.9 (3.8) n=2974$ $24.9 (1.9) n=3674$ Diastolic blood pressure (mm HD) $12.5 (16.9) n=3082$ $12.3 (10.9) n=3338$ $12.4 (1.0) n=-374$ $28.6 (0.8) n=1743$ $28.6 (0.8) n=188$	High	54547 (33.5%)	10123 (30.8%)	2490 (41.4%)	2595 (34.6%)	2601 (30.0%)	1266 (24.1%)	718 (18.5%)	453 (28.8%)
Hold (moulund), FC() 65.7 (15.8) n=3179 45.6 (5.6) n=6064 57.3 (2.6) n=7330 76.9 (2.8) n=3330 94.9 (11.3) n=3302 94.9 (13.8) n=731 25.3 (1.8) n=7302 71.9 (12.6) n=3302 71.9 (12.6) n=3302 71.9 (12.6) n=3302 71.9 (12.6) n=3032 72.4 (13.0) n=2032 72.4 (13.0) n=2032 72.9 (0.073) n=2037 72.9 (0.013) n=3032 72.9 (0.073) n=2032 72.9 (0.0173) n=3032 72.9 (0.073) n=2037 72.9 (0.013) n=3032 72.9 (0.073) n=2032 72.9 (0.073) n=2037 72.9 (0.053) n=3073 72.9 (0.073) n=3073 72.9 (0.073) n=3073 72.0 (0.073) n=3073	Variables in the NDR only								
Diabetes duration (years) 200 (14.5) n=33170 15.9 (15.5) n=664 21.5 (14.8) n=773 22.3 (14.1) n=8730 17.0 (12.3) n=5302 17.9 (12.6) n=3002 Body mass index (kg/m ³) 25.0 (4.0) n=2894 24.6 (4.0) n=2337 24.9 (3.8) n=7881 25.4 (4.1) n=4758 25.0 (4.0) n=305 Body mass index (kg/m ³) 25.0 (4.0) n=28944 24.6 (4.0) n=2327 2.66 (0.33) n=11169 25.3 (0.76) n=3273 2.86 (0.33) n=7949 2.74 (0.86) n=1743 2.87 (0.95) n=3654 Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) 12.6.7 (16.9) n=30832 12.4.3 (15.8) n=7741 73.1 (8.9) n=7273 13.8 (7.10) n=6077 75.2 (9.5) n=3654 Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) 13.6 (9.1) n=30832 12.4.3 (15.8) n=7733 13.8 (17.0) n=8077 75.2 (9.5) n=3654 Sonder 2568 (86.4%) 518 (9.1) %) 6392 (89.4%) 7121 (86.6%) 411 (83.2%) 273 (76.5%) Sonder 26568 (86.4%) 518 (9.1) %) 6392 (89.4%) 731 (8.0) n=7733 138 (17.6%) 283 (13.6%) 273 (76.5%) Sonder 26568 (86.4%) 518 (9.1) %) 6392 (89.4%) 7121 (86.6%) 411 (83.2%) 273 (76.5%) 273 (76.5%) 283 (13.5%) <td>HbA1c (mmol/mol, IFCC)</td> <td></td> <td>65.7 (15.8) n=31579</td> <td>45.6 (5.6) n=6064</td> <td>57.3 (2.6) n=7578</td> <td>67.2 (2.8) n=8730</td> <td>76.9 (2.8) n=5302</td> <td>94.9 (11.3) n=3905</td> <td></td>	HbA1c (mmol/mol, IFCC)		65.7 (15.8) n=31579	45.6 (5.6) n=6064	57.3 (2.6) n=7578	67.2 (2.8) n=8730	76.9 (2.8) n=5302	94.9 (11.3) n=3905	
Body mass index (kg/m ³) $25.0 (4.0) n=2894$ $24.6 (4.0) n=2381$ $24.9 (3.8) n=6877$ $25.3 (3.8) n=7818$ $25.4 (4.1) n=4758$ $25.0 (4.6) n=3405$ Low density lipoprotein (mmol(L) $2.66 (0.83) n=11169$ $2.33 (0.76) n=2327$ $2.60 (0.79) n=2731$ $2.66 (0.83) n=2741$ $2.87 (0.96) n=1733$ $2.87 (0.96) n=1733$ $2.87 (0.95) n=1302$ Sytolic blood pressue (mm Hg) $7.36 (9.1) n=30832$ $7.2.2 (8.9) n=5741$ $7.31 (8.9) n=7253$ $7.34 (9.3) n=5077$ $7.52 (9.5) n=3654$ Sytolic blood pressue (mm Hg) $7.36 (9.1) n=30832$ $7.2.2 (8.9) n=5741$ $7.31 (8.9) n=7253$ $7.34 (9.3) n=5077$ $7.52 (9.5) n=3654$ Sotolic blood pressue (mm Hg) $7.36 (9.1) n=30832$ $7.2.2 (8.9) n=7253$ $7.39 (9.0) n=8358$ $7.4 (9.3) n=5077$ $7.52 (9.5) n=3654$ Sotok $7.36 (9.1) n=30832$ $7.2 (8.9) n=7243$ $7.31 (8.9) n=7253$ $7.34 (9.3) n=5077$ $7.52 (9.5) n=3654$ No $8.84 (6.8)$ $5.07 (8.9) m=7241$ $7.31 (8.9) n=7253$ $7.4 (9.3) n=5077$ $7.52 (9.5) n=3654$ No $7.92 (1.6) (0.7) (0.6) (0.7) (0.6) (0.7) (0.6) (0.7) (0.6) (0.7) (0.6) (0.7) (0.6) (0.7) (0.6) (0.6) (0.6) (0.6) (0.6) (0.6) (0.6) (0.6) (0.6) (0.6) (0.6) (0.6) (0.6) (0.6) (0.6) ($	Diabetes duration (years)		20.0 (14.5) n=33170	15.9 (15.5) n=6064	21.5 (14.8) n=7578	22.3 (14.1) n=8730	21.0 (13.3) n=5302	17.9 (12.6) n=3905	16.3 (14.4) n=1591
Iow-density lipoprotein (mm0/L) 2.66 (0.83) n=11169 2.53 (0.76) n=2327 2.60 (0.79) n=2703 2.69 (0.83) n=2949 2.74 (0.86) n=1743 2.87 (0.95) n=1302 Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) 12.6.7 (16.9) n=30832 12.4.3 (15.8) n=5741 12.6.6 (16.5) n=7253 12.8.2 (17.0) n=8358 12.6.5 (15.0) n=8358 12.6.5 (15.0) n=5077 12.6.9 (18.1) n=3654 Distolic blood pressure (mm Hg) 73.6 (9.1) n=30832 72.2 (8.9) n=5741 73.1 (8.9) n=7253 12.8.2 (17.0) n=8358 12.6.5 (15.0) n=3654 Smoker 2.6568 (86.4%) 5188 (91.1%) 6392 (89.4%) 71.1 (86.6%) 4117 (83.2%) 75.2 (95) n=3654 No 2.6568 (86.4%) 5188 (91.1%) 6392 (89.4%) 731 (80.6%) 4117 (83.2%) 73.4 (6.5%) No 2.6568 (86.4%) 5188 (91.1%) 6392 (89.4%) 731 (80.6%) 834 (16.8%) 734 (76.5%) Ves 410 (148) 199 (13.4%) 834 (16.8%) 838 (23.5%) 838 (23.5%) Atrial fibrillation (48) 740 (0.4%) 195 (0.6%) 33 (0.5%) 33 (0.5%) 23 (0.6%) 23 (0.6%) 23 (0.6%) Atrial fibrillation (48) 740 (0.4%)	Body mass index (kg/m ²)		25.0 (4.0) n=28944	24.6 (4.0) n=5381	24.9 (3.8) n=6877	25.3 (3.8) n=7881	25.4 (4.1) n=4758	25.0 (4.6) n=3405	24.6 (5.0) n=642
Systelic blood pressure (mm Hg) 12.6.7 (16.9) n=30832 12.4.3 (15.8) n=5741 12.6.6 (16.5) n=2083 12.2.2 (17.0) n=8358 127.6 (17.0) n=8077 12.6.9 (18.1) n=3654 Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) 73.6 (9.1) n=30832 72.2 (8.9) n=5741 73.1 (8.9) n=7253 128.2 (17.0) n=8358 124.9 (3) n=5077 75.2 (9.5) n=3654 Smoker No 25568 (86.4%) 5188 (91.1%) 6392 (89.4%) 7121 (86.6%) 4117 (83.2%) 75.2 (9.5) n=3654 No Ves 128.7 (16.6%) 1099 (13.4%) 834 (16.8%) 838 (23.5%) Ves 4189 (13.6%) 507 (8.9%) 758 (10.6%) 1099 (13.4%) 838 (23.5%) Registrations in the IPR prior to 179 (0.5%) 1099 (13.4%) 834 (16.8%) 838 (23.5%) Arial fibrillation (48) 740 (0.4%) 1099 (13.4%) 834 (16.8%) 838 (23.5%) 838 (23.5%) Arial fibrillation (48) 740 (0.4%) 1099 (13.4%) 834 (16.8%) 838 (23.5%) 838 (23.5%) Arial fibrillation (48) 740 (0.4%) 1099 (13.4%) 834 (16.8%) 838 (23.5%) 838 (23.5%) Arial fibrillation (48) 740 (0.4%) 1099 (13.4%) 834 (16.8%) 23 (0.6%)	Low-density lipoprotein (mmol/L)		2.66 (0.83) n=11169	2.53 (0.76) n=2327	2.60 (0.79) n=2703	2.69 (0.83) n=2949	2.74 (0.86) n=1743	2.87 (0.95) n=1302	2.59 (0.81) n=145
Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) 73.6 (9.1) n=30332 72.2 (8.9) n=5741 73.1 (8.9) n=7253 73.9 (9.0) n=8358 74.4 (9.3) n=5077 75.2 (9.5) n=3654 Smoker No 26568 (86.4%) 5188 (91.1%) 6392 (89.4%) 7121 (86.6%) 4117 (83.2%) 72.4 (76.5%) No 26568 (86.4%) 507 (8.9%) 507 (8.9%) 738 (10.6%) 1009 (13.4%) 834 (16.8%) 833 (23.5%) Yes 4180 (13.6%) 507 (8.9%) 758 (10.6%) 1009 (13.4%) 834 (16.8%) 833 (23.5%) Yes 740 (0.4%) 195 (0.6%) 31 (0.5%) 33 (0.5%) 42 (0.6%) 63 (0.7%) 834 (16.8%) 834 (16.8%) 838 (23.5%) Atrial fibrillation (48) 740 (0.4%) 195 (0.6%) 31 (0.5%) 63 (0.7%) 834 (16.8%) 83 (16.8%	Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)		126.7 (16.9) n=30832	124.3 (15.8) n=5741	126.6 (16.5) n=7253	128.2 (17.0) n=8358	127.6 (17.0) n=5077	126.9 (18.1) n=3654	123.5 (16.4) n=749
Simple Simple<	Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)		73.6 (9.1) n=30832	72.2 (8.9) n=5741	73.1 (8.9) n=7253	73.9 (9.0) n=8358	74.4 (9.3) n=5077	75.2 (9.5) n=3654	72.9 (9.3) n=749
No 26568 (6.4.%) 5188 (91.1%) 6392 (89.4%) 7121 (86.6%) 4117 (83.2%) 2724 (76.5%) Yes 4180 (13.6%) 507 (8.9%) 758 (10.6%) 1099 (13.4%) 834 (16.8%) 838 (23.5%) Registrations in the IPR prior to 4180 (13.6%) 507 (8.9%) 507 (8.9%) 758 (10.6%) 1099 (13.4%) 834 (16.8%) 838 (23.5%) Atrial fibrillation (48) 740 (0.4%) 195 (0.6%) 33 (0.5%) 42 (0.6%) 63 (0.7%) 834 (16.8%) 838 (23.5%) Atrial fibrillation (48) 740 (0.4%) 195 (0.6%) 33 (0.5%) 42 (0.6%) 63 (0.7%) 83 (16.8%) 23 (0.6%) Atrial fibrillation (48) 740 (0.4%) 195 (0.6%) 33 (0.5%) 42 (0.6%) 63 (0.7%) 23 (0.5%) 23 (0.6%) Atrial fibrillation (48) 714 (2.2%) 33 (0.5%) 36 (1.6%) 63 (0.7%) 25 (0.5%) 23 (0.6%) Heart failure (150) 312 (0.2%) 38 (0.9%) 46 (0.8%) 50 (0.7%) 21 (0.5%) 23 (0.6%) Valve disease (105-109 (134-136) 284 (0.2%) 24 (0.4%) 23 (0.	Smoker								
Yes 1189 (13.6%) 507 (8.9%) 758 (10.6%) 1099 (13.4%) 834 (16.8%) 838 (23.5%) Registrations in the IPR prior to baseline Atrial fibrillation (148) 740 (0.4%) 195 (0.6%) 33 (0.5%) 42 (0.6%) 63 (0.7%) 25 (0.5%) 23 (0.6%) Atrial fibrillation (148) 740 (0.4%) 195 (0.6%) 33 (0.5%) 42 (0.6%) 63 (0.7%) 25 (0.5%) 23 (0.6%) Atrial fibrillation (148) 740 (0.4%) 195 (0.6%) 33 (0.5%) 42 (0.6%) 63 (0.7%) 25 (0.5%) 23 (0.6%) Coronary heart disease (120-125) 779 (0.5%) 714 (2.2%) 95 (1.6%) 168 (2.2%) 212 (2.4%) 122 (2.3%) 85 (2.2%) Heart failure (150) 312 (0.2%) 308 (0.9%) 46 (0.8%) 50 (0.7%) 98 (1.1%) 47 (0.9%) 46 (1.2%) Valve disease (105-109, 134-136) 284 (0.2%) 103 (0.3%) 24 (0.4%) 23 (0.3%) 27 (0.3%) 11 (0.2%) 10 (0.5%) 59 (1.5%) 59 (1.5%) Stroke (161-164) 593 (0.4%) 213 (0.3%) 21 (0.3%) 21 (0.3%) 21 (0.5%)	No		26568 (86.4%)	5188 (91.1%)	6392 (89.4%)	7121 (86.6%)	4117 (83.2%)	2724 (76.5%)	1026 (87.0%)
Registrations in the IPR prior to baseline 740 (0.4%) 195 (0.6%) 33 (0.5%) 42 (0.6%) 63 (0.7%) 25 (0.5%) 23 (0.6%) Atrial fibrillation (148) 740 (0.4%) 195 (0.6%) 33 (0.5%) 42 (0.6%) 63 (0.7%) 25 (0.5%) 23 (0.6%) Atrial fibrillation (148) 740 (0.4%) 195 (0.6%) 95 (1.6%) 168 (2.2%) 21 (2.4%) 122 (2.3%) 85 (2.2%) Coronary heart disease (120-125) 312 (0.2%) 308 (0.9%) 46 (0.8%) 50 (0.7%) 98 (1.1%) 47 (0.9%) 46 (1.2%) Valve disease (105-109, 134-136) 284 (0.2%) 24 (0.4%) 23 (0.3%) 27 (0.3%) 11 (0.2%) 11 (0.3%) Stroke (161-164) 593 (0.4%) 61 (1.0%) 98 (1.3%) 125 (1.4%) 71 (1.3%) 59 (1.5%)	Yes		4189 (13.6%)	507 (8.9%)	758 (10.6%)	1099 (13.4%)	834 (16.8%)	838 (23.5%)	153 (13.0%)
Atrial fibrillation (48) 740 (0.4%) 195 (0.6%) 33 (0.5%) 42 (0.6%) 63 (0.7%) 25 (0.5%) 23 (0.6%) Coronary heart disease (120-125) 779 (0.5%) 714 (2.2%) 95 (1.6%) 168 (2.2%) 212 (2.4%) 122 (2.3%) 85 (2.2%) Heart failure (150) 312 (0.2%) 308 (0.9%) 46 (0.8%) 50 (0.7%) 98 (1.1%) 47 (0.9%) 46 (1.2%) Valve disease (105-109, 134-136) 284 (0.2%) 103 (0.3%) 24 (0.4%) 23 (0.3%) 27 (0.3%) 11 (0.2%) 11 (0.3%) Stroke (161-164) 593 (0.4%) 61 (1.0%) 98 (1.3%) 125 (1.4%) 71 (1.3%) 59 (1.5%)	Registrations in the IPR prior to baseline								
Coronary heart disease (120-125) 779 (0.5%) 714 (2.2%) 95 (1.6%) 168 (2.2%) 212 (2.4%) 122 (2.3%) 85 (2.2%) Heart failure (150) 312 (0.2%) 308 (0.9%) 46 (0.8%) 50 (0.7%) 98 (1.1%) 47 (0.9%) 46 (1.2%) Valve disease (105-109, 134-136) 284 (0.2%) 24 (0.4%) 23 (0.3%) 27 (0.3%) 11 (0.2%) 11 (0.3%) Stroke (161-164) 593 (0.4%) 61 (1.0%) 98 (1.3%) 125 (1.4%) 71 (1.3%) 59 (1.5%)	Atrial fibrillation (148)	740 (0.4%)	195 (0.6%)	33 (0.5%)	42 (0.6%)	63 (0.7%)	25 (0.5%)	23 (0.6%)	0.6%) 9
Heart failure (150) 312 (0.2%) 308 (0.9%) 46 (0.8%) 50 (0.7%) 98 (1.1%) 47 (0.9%) 46 (1.2%) Valve disease (105-109, 134-136) 284 (0.2%) 103 (0.3%) 24 (0.4%) 23 (0.3%) 27 (0.3%) 11 (0.2%) 11 (0.3%) Stroke (161-164) 593 (0.4%) 61 (1.0%) 98 (1.3%) 125 (1.4%) 71 (1.3%) 59 (1.5%)	Coronary heart disease (I20-I25)	779 (0.5%)	714 (2.2%)	95 (1.6%)	168 (2.2%)	212 (2.4%)	122 (2.3%)	85 (2.2%)	32 (2.0%)
Value disease (105-109, 134-136) 284 (0.2%) 103 (0.3%) 24 (0.4%) 23 (0.3%) 27 (0.3%) 11 (0.2%) 11 (0.3%) Stroke (161-164) 593 (0.4%) 435 (1.3%) 61 (1.0%) 98 (1.3%) 125 (1.4%) 71 (1.3%) 59 (1.5%)	Heart failure (I50)	312 (0.2%)	308 (0.9%)	46 (0.8%)	50 (0.7%)	98 (1.1%)	47 (0.9%)	46 (1.2%)	21 (1.3%)
Stroke (161-164) 593 (0.4%) 435 (1.3%) 61 (1.0%) 98 (1.3%) 125 (1.4%) 71 (1.3%) 59 (1.5%) C (200 Cm) (1.000) (1.000) (1.000) (1.000) (1.000) (1.000)	Valve disease (105-109, 134-136)	284 (0.2%)	103 (0.3%)	24 (0.4%)	23 (0.3%)	27 (0.3%)	11 (0.2%)	11 (0.3%)	7 (0.4%)
	Stroke (161-164)	593 (0.4%)	435 (1.3%)	61 (1.0%)	98 (1.3%)	125 (1.4%)	71 (1.3%)	59 (1.5%)	21 (1.3%)
Cancer (Lou-Carl) 2221 (1.4%) 231 (1.1%) 301 (1.1%) 30 (1.0%) 141 (1.3%) 120 (1.0%) 70 (1.0%) 40 (1.2%)	Cancer (C00-C97)	2251 (1.4%)	551 (1.7%)	96 (1.6%)	141 (1.9%)	156 (1.8%)	78 (1.5%)	48 (1.2%)	32 (2.0%)

Table 2 AMI or CHD death per 100	00 patient years by sex and	age categories at baseline w	ith 95% Cl estimated by exa	ct Poisson Cls		
	All		Men		Women	
AMI or CHD death, primary diagnosis	Type I diabetes	Controls	Type I diabetes	Controls	Type I diabetes	Controls
All						
z	33170	164698	18178	90 045	14992	74653
n (%)	1500 (4.5%)	1925 (1.2%)	806 (4.4%)	1392 (1.5%)	694 (4.6%)	533 (0.7%)
Cases per 1000 years (95% CI)	5.74 (5.45 to 6.03)	1.42 (1.36 to 1.49)	5.68 (5.29 to 6.09)	1.90 (1.80 to 2.00)	5.80 (5.38 to 6.25)	0.86 (0.79 to 0.93)
18–34 years						
z	18406	91 921	10155	50 721	8251	41 200
N (%)	86 (0.5%)	57 (0.1%)	45 (0.4%)	47 (0.1%)	41 (0.5%)	10 (0.0%)
Cases per 1000 years (95% Cl)	0.64 (0.51 to 0.79)	0.08 (0.06 to 0.11)	0.61 (0.45 to 0.82)	0.13 (0.09 to 0.17)	0.67 (0.48 to 0.91)	0.03 (0.02 to 0.06)
35–49 years						
Z	8543	42 575	4734	23562	3809	19013
u (%) n	447 (5.2%)	469 (1.1%)	257 (5.4%)	386 (1.6%)	190 (5.0%)	83 (0.4%)
Cases per 1000 years (95% CI)	5.63 (5.12 to 6.17)	1.13 (1.03 to 1.24)	5.88 (5.19 to 6.65)	1.69 (1.53 to 1.87)	5.31 (4.59 to 6.13)	0.45 (0.36 to 0.55)
50-64 years						
Z	4977	24403	2671	12 961	2306	11 442
u (%) n	660 (13.3%)	869 (3.6%)	358 (13.4%)	644 (5.0%)	302 (13.1%)	225 (2.0%)
Cases per 1000 years (95% CI)	16.20 (14.99 to 17.49)	3.89 (3.63 to 4.16)	16.68 (14.99 to 18.50)	5.54 (5.12 to 5.99)	15.68 (13.96 to 17.55)	2.10 (1.83 to 2.39)
65+ years						
Z	1244	5799	618	2801	626	2998
n (%) n	307 (24.7%)	530 (9.1%)	146 (23.6%)	315 (11.2%)	161 (25.7%)	215 (7.2%)
Cases per 1000 years (95% CI)	43.63 (38.89 to 48.80)	12.77 (11.71 to 13.91)	43.06 (36.36 to 50.64)	16.31 (14.56 to 18.21)	44.17 (37.61 to 51.54)	9.69 (8.44 to 11.08)

11.08)



Figure 1 Adjusted HRs for acute myocardial infarction (AMI) or coronary heart disease (CHD) death and 95% CI for patients with type 1 diabetes vs the reference group examined by Cox regression. Model 1 is adjusted for time-updated age and sex, model 3 is adjusted for time-updated age, sex, diabetes duration, born in Sweden, education level, baseline comorbidities (atrial fibrillation, CHD, heart failure, valve disease, stroke and cancer).

were 18.80 (95% CI 13.26 to 26.54) and 4.38 (95% CI 3.67 to 5.22), respectively.

Risk of MI in relation to glycaemic control and renal complications

When excess risk for CHD events in patients was evaluated in relation to glycaemic control and renal complications, the relative risks expressed as HRs were consistently higher for women than for men (figure 2).

The HRs for men and women with T1D and updated mean HbA1c <6.9% (52 mmol/mol) were 1.86 (1.47-2.34) and 4.37 (3.36–5.68), respectively, compared with controls as a group, increasing with higher HbA1c to 7.46 (95% CI 6.02 to 9.24) and 17.9 (95% CI 14.5 to 22.1), for men and women, respectively, at updated mean HbA1c \geq 9.7%. Men and women with normoalbuminuria had HRs for MI and CHD death of 1.86 (95% CI 1.61 to 2.15) and 4.65 (95% CI 3.98 to 5.43), respectively, increasing to 14.5 (95% CI 11.2 to 18.7) and 32.8 (95% CI 24.1 to 44.6) at CKD stage 5, respectively (figure 2). At eGFR \geq 90 mL/min, the HRs for men and women were 1.96 (95% CI 1.67 to 2.30) and 4.62 (95% CI 3.66 to 5.84), respectively, increasing with lower eGFR (figure 2). Similar results regarding the effect of eGFR on MI and CHD death were obtained using CKD-EPI (CKD Epidemiology Collaboration) formula (see online supplementary table 1.1, supplementary table 1.2 and supplementary table 1.3), which also includes results from models 1 and 2.

HRs for coronary events for patients with T1D stratified by increasing updated mean HbA1c with coexisting normoalbuminuria and coexisting renal complications are shown in figure 3. Results from models 1 and 2 are available in the online supplementary table 2.1, supplementary table 2.2 and supplementary table 2.3.

HRs for increasing updated mean HbA1c levels for patients with T1D with eGFR $\geq 60 \text{ mL/min}$ versus controls as well as eGFR < 60 mL/min are shown in figure 3. HRs were consistently higher for women than men at all levels of HbA1c and renal function.

Risk of MI by 10 mmol/mol HbA1c increase

The relationship between continuous time-updated mean HbA1c (per 1% (10 mmol/mol) increase) and risk of CHD events was investigated among patients with T1D. The HRs for men and women when adjusted for age were 1.33 (95% CI 1.26 to 1.40) and 1.41 (95% CI 1.34 to 1.49), respectively. When adjusted for education, birth in Sweden and comorbidities prior to baseline, the HRs were 1.30 (95% CI 1.23 to 1.38) and 1.41 (95% CI 1.33 to 1.50) per 1% (10 mmol/mol) increase in HbA1c, respectively. There was no statistically significant interaction between sex and continuous HbA1c in these analyses. Clinical characteristics and risk factors in men and women are shown in supplementary table 3. Compared with men, women had higher mean HbA1c during follow-up (p=0.0002), higher baseline smoking rates (p < 0.0001), longer diabetes duration (p < 0.0001) and lower use of lipid-lowering medication (p<0.0001). Mean systolic blood pressure was lower in women than men (p < 0.0001), and HDL cholesterol levels were higher (p < 0.0001).

There was no statistically significant difference for time updated mean HbA1c levels \leq 42 mmol/mol and 43-47 vs



Figure 2 Adjusted HRs for acute myocardial infarction (AMI) or coronary heart disease (CHD) death and 95% CI for time-updated mean haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) categories, albuminuria categories and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) categories vs the reference group examined by Cox regression. p-Values for all comparisons were <0.0001. CKD, chronic kidney disease.



Figure 3 Adjusted HRs for acute myocardial infarction (AMI) or coronary heart disease (CHD) death and 95% CIs for time-updated mean haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) categories together with albuminuria and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) vs the reference group examined by Cox regression. p Values for all comparisons were <0.0001, except for male \leq 6.9% normoalbuminuria where p=0.16, male 7.9%-8.7% normoalbuminuria where p=0.0001 and male \leq 6.9% eGFR \geq 60 where p=0.049.

48–52 mmol/mol for either male or female patients with T1D in the risk of major CHD events (supplementary figure 1).

Risk of MI by calendar year

Later calendar year (≥ 2005) was associated with decreased excess risk of coronary events compared with controls and in comparison with earlier calendar year (<2005). HRs in patients compared with controls were 3.63 (95% CI 3.33 to 3.95) for calendar years ≥ 2005 and 4.80 (95% CI 4.26 to 5.42) for calendar years <2005, respectively.

Medications

Among the study population included in the NDR from 2005 onwards and before any coronary event, 25.7% of patients received lipid-lowering medications (Anatomic Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification system=C10) after 2005, compared with 4.0% of controls. Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System (RAAS) (ATC=C9) and beta-blockers (ATC=C7) were prescribed to 24.5% vs 5.2% and 11.6% vs 5.9% of patients and controls, respectively. As many as 35.9% of patients received at least one of these medications, compared with 9.8% of controls.

DISCUSSION

The main finding in this nationwide study of persons with T1D in Sweden from 1998 to 2011 is that there was an overall fourfold excess risk of coronary events in patients with T1D compared with matched controls. The excess risk in patients increased with worse glycaemic control and renal dysfunction. The greatest excess risk when using a relative measure (HR) existed in younger individuals, whereas absolute differences were greater in older persons. Regardless of whether a relative or absolute measure was used, the excess risk of MI and CHD death at any age was greater for women with T1D compared with men, explained by a lower incidence of CHD among female than male controls, whereas men and women with T1D had similar rates, implying that women with T1D lose all CHD protective effects of which they normally benefit.

The fact that males have higher incidences of MI than females in the general population has repeatedly been shown, with a 5-vear to 10-vear delay in first occurrence of MI in women.¹⁵ In a systematic review of patients with T1D, Huxley et al reported that women have twice the excess risk of vascular events (both fatal and non-fatal) when compared with men.¹⁶ Many theories for this have been proposed, such as differences in insulin resistance, coagulation and cholesterol levels.¹⁷ Due to often less clear symptoms in women with CVD, one could speculate about higher rate of undiagnosed cardiovascular events in women in the general population versus patients with diabetes. The mechanisms underlying this difference are unknown and warrant further investigation. Men with diabetes, good glycaemic control and no renal complications had no excess risk of MI compared with male controls, whereas women had at least twice the risk of female controls.

Our findings show that despite advances in treatment, the overall excess risk of coronary events in persons with T1D persists.^{4 6 17–19} The presence of risk factors such as hypertension or hyperlipidaemia are not as apparent in T1D, compared with T2D, unless in the presence of advanced renal complications, which are often associated with hypertension.²⁰ In T1D, abnormalities in cholesterol and triglyceride plasma levels usually reverse with glycaemic control.²¹ However, marked hyperglycaemia are features in the majority of persons with T1D. Earlier

observational studies of T1D have shown that hyperglycaemia and renal complications are key risk factors for CVD,¹⁷ ^{22–28} but these studies did not examine the excess risk in relation to the general population.

Our results show that both optimal glycaemic control and absence of renal complications are associated with markedly lower excess risks of coronary events for persons with T1D than for persons with poor glycaemic control or renal complications, compared with controls. Our results thus support the existing guidelines in T1D, which advocate good glycaemic control to prevent cardiovascular and other complications.

In patients with good glycaemic control, the excess risk for men is approximately double and approximately fourfold for women compared with the general population. However, it is certainly possible that patients with seemingly optimal recent glycaemic control had worse control in the past, which might have contributed to their elevated CHD risk. When evaluating persons with normoalbuminuria as an indicator for reasonably well-controlled glycaemia before the study, males with HbA1c on target had a non-significant 30% excess risk compared with controls. In contrast, the excess risk for women was threefold even in the presence of normoalbuminuria and on target HbA1c. Since normoalbuminuria is a rough marker for earlier good glycaemic control, the current findings must be interpreted with caution as to whether targeting HbA1c from diagnosis onwards will result in similar risk of MI to the general population. It should be noted that women had somewhat higher HbA1c, longer diabetes duration, more were smokers and fewer used lipid-lowering medications. On the other hand, women had lower systolic blood pressure and higher HDL cholesterol levels.

Our results indicate an overall cardioprotective strategy is needed in persons with T1D. Furthermore, in patients with T1D with renal complications such as microalbuminuria or reduced eGFR, and in women (regardless of HbA1c or presence of renal complications), targeting HbA1c may not be enough to reduce the excess risk of MI. In contrast to strategies recommended for the general population, where women are at lower risk, prevention measures in T1D should be the same for women as men.

Although patients with T1D received statin medications, RAAS and beta-blockers more often than the general population, they still had an excess risk. Blood pressure was generally well-controlled, and smoking rates were in line with reports from the general population.^{29 30} Certainly, specific smoking cessation programmes could be implemented in line with those often used today for patients with previous AMI, since persons with T1D have regular clinical contacts. Continued aggressive treatment with statin medications is likely essential, although clinical trials of lipid-lowering therapies for this patient group are lacking, and the age at which they should be introduced remains unresolved. Finally, non-pharmacological interventions are important, such as physical activity, diet and smoking cessation. Nevertheless, our findings highlight the fact that new, additional cardioprotective strategies are still needed in this patient group, in addition to stricter implementation of measures already known to be effective.

Strengths and limitations of the study

Strengths of the current study include the population-based design, where nearly all patients with T1D in Sweden were included, and use of matched controls. Also the NDR contains comprehensive information on risk factors. Limitations include lacking information on several risk factors of interest at an individual level among controls, such as hypertension

and smoking. Also, hypoglycaemia is difficult to record in real life, since the definition is based on glucose level per se (<3.9 mmol/L), which is not always checked in clinical practice on symptoms of hypoglycaemia. Such data were not included in the analyses. Furthermore, patients monitor glucose levels differently, using different frequencies of capillary measurements or flash or continuous glucose monitoring. It cannot be excluded that non-severe AMI may be underdiagnosed to some extent among controls, since persons with diabetes have greater general follow-up rates and closer contact with health providers, thereby possibly detecting more events or resulting in greater referral for additional examinations. Another limitation is that data on the use of cardioprotective medications were available only from 2005. Also, albuminuria was not recorded by exact amount of excreted U-albumin but instead by 'microalbuminuria' or 'macroalbuminuria', and no sex-specific microalbuminuria cut points were used in the study. Finally, residual confounding cannot be excluded due to the observational nature of this study.

Conclusions

In conclusion, despite treatment advances the excess risk of AMI in patients with T1D remains markedly elevated compared with the general population, although substantially lower in those with good glycaemic control, absence of renal complications and in men. In women, the excess risk of MI remains significantly elevated, even in the presence of good glycaemic control and no renal complications. Aggressive cardioprotective action in persons with T1D is still needed.

Key messages

What is already known on this subject?

Cardiovascular disease is the most common cause of death in persons with type 1 diabetes (T1D), life expectancy in this population is lower. Strategies for optimising glycaemic control have been developed in recent decades.

What might this study add?

The risk of myocardial infarction (MI) is still substantially increased in persons with T1D. The excess risk is greater among women than men. Patients with good glycaemic control and no renal complications have considerably lower risk than others. Excess risk of coronary events was somewhat lower during the second period of follow-up compared with the first period.

How might this impact on clinical practice?

More persons with T1D need to target good glycaemic control to reduce MI to the level of the general population. Future research should continue to focus on other cardiopreventive strategies such as older age, renal complications and history of poor glycaemic control.

Acknowledgements We thank all of the clinicians who were involved in the care of patients with diabetes for collecting data, and staff at the National Diabetes Registry. The study was financed by grants from Novo Nordisk Foundation and the Swedish state, under the agreement between the Swedish government and county councils for economic support of research and education of doctors (the ALF agreement). This study was also funded by grants from the Swedish Research Council (SIMSAM; grant numbers 2013–5187 and 2013–4236).

Contributors All authors (VM-A, AR, A-MS, AP, SG, HW, MK, BH, ML) contributed extensively to the work presented in this paper, discussed the conception and design of the study, interpretation of data and commented on the manuscript at all stages.

Competing interests ML reports receiving honoraria or been consultant for AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly, Medtronic, Novo Nordisk and Pfizer and grant support from AstraZeneca, Dexcom, Novo Nordisk and Pfizer. All other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Ethics approval Ethical committee at the University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement All data supporting this study are provided as supplementary information accompanying this paper.

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2017. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

- Hanås R. Typ 1 diabetes hos barn, ungdomar och unga vuxna: hur du blir expert på din egen diabetes. *BetaMed* 2010.
- 2 Lind M, Svensson AM, Kosiborod M, et al. Glycemic control and excess mortality in type 1 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2014;371:1972–82.
- 3 Livingstone SJ, Levin D, Looker HC, et al. Estimated life expectancy in a Scottish cohort with type 1 diabetes, 2008–2010. JAMA 2015;313:37–44.
- 4 Laing SP, Swerdlow AJ, Slater SD, et al. Mortality from heart disease in a cohort of 23,000 patients with insulin-treated diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2003;46:760–5.
- 5 Soedamah-Muthu SS, Fuller JH, Mulnier HE, et al. All-cause mortality rates in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus compared with a non-diabetic population from the UK general practice research database, 1992–1999. *Diabetologia* 2006;49:660–6.
- 6 Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT (2016). "Intensive diabetes treatment and cardiovascular outcomes in type 1 diabetes: the DCCT/EDIC Study 30-year followup". *Diabetes care* 2016;39.5:686–93.
- 7 American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes–2014. *Diabetes Care* 2014;37(Suppl 1):S14–80.
- 8 Eliasson B, Gudbjörnsdottir S. Diabetes care–improvement through measurement. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2014;106(Suppl 2)91–4.
- 9 Tancredi M, Rosengren A, Svensson AM, et al. Excess mortality among persons with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2015;373:1720–32.
- 10 Lind M, Bounias I, Olsson M, et al. Glycaemic control and incidence of heart failure in 20,985 patients with type 1 diabetes: an observational study. Lancet 2011;378:140–6.
- 11 Eeg-Olofsson K, Cederholm J, Nilsson PM, et al. Glycemic control and cardiovascular disease in 7,454 patients with type 1 diabetes: an observational study from the Swedish National Diabetes Register (NDR). *Diabetes Care* 2010;33:1640–6.
- 12 Wettermark B, Hammar N, Fored CM, et al. The New Swedish Prescribed Drug Register–opportunities for pharmacoepidemiological research and experience from the first six months. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 2007;16:726–35.
- 13 Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, et al. A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation. Ann Intern Med 1999;130:461–70.
- 14 Lind M, Odén A, Fahlén M, et al. A systematic review of HbA1c variables used in the study of diabetic complications. *Diabetes Metab Syndr* 2008;2:282–93.
- 15 Go AS, Mozaffarian D, Roger VL, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics–2013 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2013;127:e6–e245.
- 16 Huxley RR, Peters SAE, Mishra GD, et al. Risk of all-cause mortality and vascular events in women versus men with type 1 diabetes: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2015;3:198–206.
- 17 de Ferranti SD, de Boer IH, Fonseca V, *et al*. Type 1 diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease. *Circulation* 2014;130:1110–30.
- 18 Soedamah-Muthu SS, Fuller JH, Mulnier HE, et al. High risk of cardiovascular disease in patients with type 1 diabetes in the UK: a cohort study using the general practice research database. Diabetes Care 2006;29:798–804.
- 19 Ortega E, Amor AJ, Rojo-Martínez G, et al. Cardiovascular disease in patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes in Spain. *Medicina Clínica* 2015;145:233–8.
- 20 Schutta MH. Diabetes and hypertension: epidemiology of the relationship and pathophysiology of factors associated with these comorbid conditions. J Cardiometab Syndr 2007;2:124–30.
- 21 Vergès B. Lipid disorders in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Metab* 2009;35:353–60.
- 22 Lachin JM, Orchard TJ, Nathan DM, et al. Update on cardiovascular outcomes at 30 years of the diabetes control and complications trial/epidemiology of diabetes interventions and complications study. *Diabetes Care* 2014;37:39–43.
- 23 Groop PH, Thomas MC, Moran JL, et al. The presence and severity of chronic kidney disease predicts all-cause mortality in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes* 2009;58:1651–8.

- 24 Shankar A, *et al*. Association between glycosylated hemoglobin level and cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in type 1 diabetes. *Am J Epidemiol* 2007;166:393–402.
- 25 Stettler C, Allemann S, Jüni P, et al. Glycemic control and macrovascular disease in types 1 and 2 diabetes mellitus: meta-analysis of randomized trials. Am Heart J 2006;152:27–38.
- 26 Prince CT, Becker DJ, Costacou T, et al. Changes in glycaemic control and risk of coronary artery disease in type 1 diabetes mellitus: findings from the Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study (EDC). Diabetologia 2007;50:2280–8.
- 27 Teleb M, Popp Switzer M, Elhanafi S, et al. Glycemic control and excess cardiovascular mortality in type 1 diabetes. Curr Cardiol Rep 2016;18:1–5.
- 28 Nyström T, Holzmann MJ, Eliasson B, et al. Glycemic control in type 1 diabetes and long-term risk of cardiovascular events or death after coronary artery bypass Grafting. J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;66:535–43.
- 29 National Board of Health and Welfare. Registeruppgifter om tobaksrökningens skadeverkningar: a 2014 report by the National Board of Health and Welfare. 2014 https://www.socialstyrelsen.se/Lists/Artikelkatalog/Attachments/19371/2014-3-4.pdf (accessed 17 May 2017).
- 30 Statistics Sweden. Use of alcohol and tobacco. Living Conditions, Report no 114 http://www.scb.se/statistik/_publikationer/LE0101_2004I05_BR_LE114SA0701.pdf (accessed 17 May 2017).